

1 MICHAEL J. IOANNOU (SBN 95208)
 2 KEVIN W. ISAACSON (SBN 281067)
 3 ANGIE CHANG (SBN 351887)
 4 AMANDA M. OGATA (SBN 354967)
 5 ROPERS MAJESKI PC
 6 333 W. Santa Clara St., Suite 910
 7 San Jose, CA 95113
 Telephone: 408.287.6262
 Facsimile: 408.918.4501
 Email: michael.ioannou@ropers.com
 kevin.isaacson@ropers.com
 angie.chang@ropers.com
 amanda.ogata@ropers.com

8 Attorneys for Defendants and Counterclaimants
 ANALOG DEVICES, INC. and
 9 MAXIM INTEGRATED PRODUCTS, INC.

10 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 11 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
 12 SAN JOSE DIVISION

14 NUMBER 14 B.V.,
 15 Plaintiff,
 16 v.
 17 ANALOG DEVICES, INC.; and MAXIM
 INTEGRATED PRODUCTS, INC.
 18 Defendants.

19 ANALOG DEVICES, INC.; and MAXIM
 20 INTEGRATED PRODUCTS, INC.,
 21 Counterclaimants,
 22 v.
 23 NUMBER 14 B.V., RUDY ESCHAUZIER,
 and NICO VAN RIJN,
 24 Counter-Defendants.

Case No.: 5:24-cv-02435-EJD

STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER RE: EXTENSION OF TIME FOR DEFENDANTS/COUNTER CLAIMANTS' TO FILE RESPONSE OR OPPOSITION TO PLAINTIFF/ COUNTER-DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO DISMISS; EXTENSION OF TIME FOR DEFENDANTS/COUNTER CLAIMANTS' TO FILE RESPONSE OR OPPOSITION TO PLAINTIFF/COUNTER- DEFENDANT'S ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO CONSIDER WHETHER ANOTHER PARTY'S MATERIAL SHOULD BE SEALED (CIVIL L. R. 7-11, 79-5(f), and FRCP 83)

26 IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED by and between Plaintiff NUMBER 14 B.V. ("Plaintiff")
 27 and Defendants/Counterclaimants ANALOG DEVICES, INC. and MAXIM INTEGRATED

1 PRODUCTS, INC. (collectively, "Defendants"), by and through their respective attorneys of
2 record, that Defendants shall have up to and including August 9, 2024 to file and serve their
3 Opposition to Plaintiff's Motion to Dismiss (ECF No. 43) and August 2, 2024 to file and serve
4 their response to Plaintiff's Administrative Motion to Consider Whether Another Party's Material
5 Should be Sealed (ECF No. 42), both filed on July 19, 2024, pursuant to Civil Local Rules, Rules
6 6-1 and 6-2.

7 Good cause exists for an extension of time because Defendants' primary attorney of
8 record will be out of the office and without internet access, for seven of the fourteen days given to
9 file its opposition to Plaintiff's Motion to Dismiss, and for the entirety of time given to respond to
10 the Plaintiff's Administrative Motion to Consider Whether Another Party's Material Should be
11 Sealed. Also, Defendants agreed to extend Plaintiff's deadline to file its response to Defendants'
12 Answer with Affirmative Defenses and Counterclaims by two weeks (ECF No. 38). Finally, an
13 extension of time will have no effect on the schedule of the case. The next deadline is the case
14 management statement, which is due on August 9, 2024. Further, the hearing for the Motion to
15 Dismiss is scheduled for October 3, 2024, significantly beyond the stipulated deadline for
16 Defendants to file its responses. Therefore, all parties in this action stipulate to this extension of
17 time for Defendants to respond to Plaintiff's Motion to Dismiss and Plaintiff's Administrative
18 Motion to Consider Whether Another Party's Material Should be Sealed.

19 The time modifications in this case are unrelated to this stipulation, but as follows: (1) on
20 May 28, 2024, the parties stipulated to an extension of time for Defendants to respond to the
21 initial Complaint (ECF No. 17); (2) on June 18, 2024, pursuant to stipulation, this Court granted
22 an extension of time for Plaintiff to respond to Defendants' Administrative Motion to File Under
23 Seal (ECF No. 27); and (3) on July 2, 2024, pursuant to stipulation, this Court granted an
24 extension of time for Plaintiff to respond to Defendants' Answer to Plaintiff's Complaint with
25 Affirmative Defenses and Counterclaims (ECF No. 39). No prior requests for an extension of
26 time to respond to or oppose the Motion to Dismiss and the Administrative Motion to Consider
27 Whether Another Party's Material Should be Sealed have been made.

1 The Declaration of Amanda M. Ogata in support of this Stipulation to Extend Time is
 2 filed concurrently herewith.

3 Dated: July 24, 2024

GREENFIELD LLP

4

5

By: /s/ Rachel Thomas

RACHEL THOMAS
Attorneys for Plaintiff and Counter-
Defendant NUMBER 14 B.V. and
Specially Appearing for Counter-
Defendants RUDY ESCHAUZIER and
NICO VAN RIJN

6

7

8

Dated: July 24, 2024

MEUNIER CARLIN & CURFMAN LLC

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

By: /s/ John W. Harbin

WARREN J. THOMAS
JOHN W. HARBIN
Attorneys for Plaintiff and Counter-
Defendant NUMBER 14 B.V. and
Specially Appearing for Counter-
Defendants RUDY ESCHAUZIER and
NICO VAN RIJN

Dated: July 24, 2024

ROPERS MAJESKI PC

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

By: /s/ Amanda M. Ogata

MICHAEL J. IOANNOU
KEVIN W. ISAACSON
ANGIE CHANG
AMANDA M. OGATA
Attorneys for Defendants and Counter-
Claimants ANALOG DEVICES, INC. and
MAXIM INTEGRATED PRODUCTS,
INC.

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

61

62

63

64

65

66

67

68

69

70

71

72

73

74

75

76

77

78

79

80

81

82

83

84

85

86

87

88

89

90

91

92

93

94

95

96

97

98

99

100

101

102

103

104

105

106

107

108

109

110

111

112

113

114

115

116

117

118

119

120

121

122

123

124

125

126

127

128

129

130

131

132

133

134

135

136

137

138

139

140

141

142

143

144

145

146

147

148

149

150

151

152

153

154

155

156

157

158

159

160

161

162

163

164

165

166

167

168

169

170

171

172

173

174

175

176

177

178

179

180

181

182

183

184

185

186

187

188

189

190

191

192

193

194

195

196

197

198

199

200

201

202

203

204

205

206

207

208

209

210

211

212

213

214

215

216

217

218

219

220

221

222

223

224

225

226

227

228

229

230

231

232

233

234

235

236

237

238

239

240

241

242

243

244

245

246

247

248

249

250

251

252

253

254

255

256

257

258

259

260

261

262

263

264

265

266

267

268

269

270

271

272

273

274

275

276

277

278

ORDER

PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED that Defendants' time to respond or oppose Plaintiff's Motion to Dismiss shall be extended up to and including August 9, 2024. In addition, Defendants' time to respond or oppose Plaintiff's Administrative Motion to Consider Whether Another Party's Material Should be Sealed shall be extended up to and including August 2, 2024.

Dated: July 24, 2024

EDWARD J. DAVILA
United States District Court Judge

ROPER'S A Professional Corporation
M A J E S K I San Jose